

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,865 | 03/16/2007 | Peter Kwasny | 7472-104 | 7050 |
| 62836 BERLINER & | 7590 05/24/2011 ASSOCIATES | | EXAMINER KIM, CHRISTOPHER S | |
| 555 WEST FII | FTH STREET | | | |
| 31ST FLOOR LOS ANGELI | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| LOG TH COLL | , 0.170010 | 3752 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/24/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 10/580,865 | KWASNY, PETER | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | CHRISTOPHER KIM | 3752 | | |

| | OTHER TRIM | CIGE | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (). | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | n. LED WITHIN TWO | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later han three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, large dates any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | , | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | history and the date of filling a bloom | | the continued | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (∩ Other: . | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment recites newly presented limitations.